

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF GEORGINA

COUNCIL MINUTES

May 28, 2012
(7:05 p.m.)

1. MOMENT OF MEDITATION:

A moment of meditation was observed.

Town Council acknowledged the recent passing of Sylvia Tremblett. She was active in the community for many years as a member of numerous boards including the Georgina Transit Committee and president of the local hockey association.

2. ROLL CALL:

The Deputy Clerk gave the roll call and the following Council Members were present:

Mayor Grossi	Regional Councillor Wheeler
Councillor Craig	Councillor Davison
Councillor Hackenbrook	Councillor Smockum
Councillor Szollosy	

3. COMMUNITY SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENTS:

3.1 Recognition of an individual for her heroic actions during a local fire.

Deputy Fire Chief Richardson explained that during the early morning hours of April 6th, Sheri Bryant was walking up Miami Drive on her way home when she noticed the roof of a home on fire. She approached the home and knocked on the door and when she received no answer, she entered the home to find the sole occupant in the living room. Ms. Bryant persuaded the owner to leave the home and assisted him to a neighbour's home across the road. Ms. Bryant's actions prevented a terrible situation from possibly becoming tragic.

Chief O'Neill, Deputy Fire Chief Richardson and Mayor Grossi presented Sheri Bryant with a certificate in recognition of her heroic actions.

Council Members were made aware of a number of community events taking place.

4. INTRODUCTION OF ADDENDUM ITEMS AND DEPUTATIONS:

Correspondence from Dale Wright regarding hunting regulations on his property was not added to the agenda. Direction was given that it be dealt with by the By-laws Division and the Planning Division.

Correspondence concerning the GTTI's hosting of an East Coast Lobster Party on Saturday, July 14th at the Training Centre on Baseline Road in Sutton was not added to the agenda.

The following items were identified as part of the agenda:

- 4.1 Various pieces of correspondence from interested parties respecting Item No. 14.1.1
- 4.2 Addendum Item No 15.2.6 regarding an exemption from the noise by-law during an event at Bayview Park on June 2nd.

5. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Moved by Councillor Craig

Seconded by Councillor Smockum

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0200

THAT THE AGENDA WITH THE FOLLOWING ADDENDUM ITEMS, BE APPROVED:

- 5.1 VARIOUS PIECES OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM INTERESTED PARTIES RESPECTING ITEM NO. 14.1.1
- 5.2 ADDENDUM ITEM NO 15.2.6 REGARDING AN EXEMPTION FROM THE NOISE BY-LAW DURING AN EVENT AT BAYVIEW PARK ON JUNE 2ND.

Carried.....

6. DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTEREST:

None.

7. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES:

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Smockum

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0201

THAT THE MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON MAY 14, 2012, BE ADOPTED AS PRESENTED.

Carried.....

8. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES:

None.

9. DETERMINATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION:

The following items were identified for separate discussion:

- 9.1 Item No. 11.1, deputation by Jim Keenan respecting the Town of Georgina Procedural By-law requesting amendments be made concerning deputations and publication of meeting agendas
- 9.2 Item No. 15.2, various matters for disposition
- 9.3 Item No. 14.1.1, Report No. PB-2012-0042 entitled 'Revised Applications for Draft Plan of Subdivision (19TG-2005-006), Draft Plan of Condominium (19CDM-2005-007), and amendments to the Official Plan as amended by the current Sutton Secondary Plan and Sutton/Jackson's Point Secondary Plan and Zoning By-law No. 500, Briarwood Estates Ltd c/o Enzo Di Giovanni
- 9.4 Item No. 17.4.1, Report No. OED-2012-0024 entitled 'Community Safety Zones'
- 9.5 Item No. 17.4.2, Report No. OED-2012-0022 entitled 'ROC Financial Summary for the First Winter Operations 2012'
- 9.6 Item No. 20, various by-laws

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION:

10.1 Matters not subject to individual conflicts

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Craig

That the following recommendations respecting the matters listed as 'Items Not Requiring Separate Discussion' be adopted as submitted to Council and staff be authorized to take all necessary action required to give effect to same:

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION cont'd:Routine:**RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0202**

THAT THE ROUTINE CORRESPONDENCE BE RECEIVED.

17.1 Recommendations from the Committee of the Whole Meeting held on May 22, 2012:**RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0203**

17.1.1 1. THAT REPORT NO. RC-2012-0007 BE RECEIVED.

2. THAT COUNCIL AUTHORIZE AN EXEMPTION FROM NOISE BY-LAW 2003-0075 (PWE-1) DURING THE YOUTH-A-PALOOZA EVENT ON SUNDAY, JUNE 24, 2012 FROM 10:00 A.M. TO 3:00 P.M.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0204

17.1.2 A. THAT REPORT NO. PB-2012-0038 BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.

- B. THAT COUNCIL PRELIMINARILY APPROVE THE ASSIGNMENT OF 162 PERSONS EQUIVALENT (56 UNITS) OF KESWICK WATER AND SEWER SERVICING ALLOCATION TO PHASE 7 OF PLAN OF SUBDIVISION 19TG-2003-001, SUBJECT TO THE ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD GRANTING DRAFT APPROVAL OF THE AFOREMENTIONED PLAN.

- C. THAT THE CLERK FORWARD A COPY OF REPORT PB-2012-0038 TO HEATHER KONEFAT, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY PLANNING OF THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0205

17.1.3 A. THAT REPORT PB-2012-0039 BE RECEIVED AS INFORMATION.

- B. THAT THE COMMENTS PREPARED BY THE REGION OF YORK FOR THE SOUTH GEORGIAN BAY LAKE SIMCOE SOURCE WATER PROTECTION COMMITTEE ON THE DRAFT PROPOSED SOURCE PROTECTION PLAN,

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION cont'd:

MARCH 26, 2012, CONTAINED IN SCHEDULE '1' OF STAFF REPORT PB-2012-0039 BE ENDORSED BY COUNCIL.

- C. THAT THE TOWN CLERK FORWARD A COPY OF REPORT NUMBER PB-2012-009 TO THE SOUTH GEORGIAN BAY LAKE SIMCOE SOURCE WATER PROTECTION COMMITTEE AND TO DON GOODYEAR, RISK MANAGEMENT OFFICIAL AT THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0206

- 17.1.4 1. THAT REPORT NO. OED-2012-0022 BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.
2. THAT SCHEDULE 'A' TO BY-LAW 94-60 (PWO-2) BE FURTHER AMENDED TO ASSUME THE ROADWAYS WITHIN THE LANDGROUP DEVELOPMENT INC. DEVELOPMENT, PLAN OF SUBDIVISION 19TG-96002 AND THE KESWICK METRO DEVELOPMENT, PLAN OF SUBDIVISION 19TG-2002-001 AS LISTED ON TABLE 1 ATTACHED.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0207

- 17.1.5 1. THAT REPORT NO. DAS-2012-0028 REGARDING INLAND IRON & METALS REQUEST FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM NOISE BY-LAW 2003-0075 AND LICENSING BY-LAW 2002- 0169 TO ALLOW FOR LOADING OF TWO (2) TRACTOR TRAILERS ON SUNDAYS & STATUTORY HOLIDAYS BE RECEIVED; AND
2. THAT SUBJECT TO THE EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT ATTACHED TO THIS REPORT, THAT THE TOWN OF GEORGINA GRANT EXEMPTION TO INLAND IRON & METALS FROM SECTION 3 (2) OF SCHEDULE 11 OF LICENSING BY-LAW NO. 2002-0169 (LI-3) AND SECTION 2 (E) (IV) OF NOISE BY-LAW NO. 2003-0075 (PWE-1) TO ALLOW TWO (2) TRACTOR TRAILERS TO BE LOADED ON SUNDAYS AND STATUTORY HOLIDAYS FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED ONE YEAR FROM JUNE 1, 2012 TO MAY 31, 2013 BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 10:00 A.M. AND 12 NOON.

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION cont'd:

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0208

- 17.1.6 1. THAT REPORT RC-2012-0009 BE RECEIVED.
2. THAT MAYOR AND COUNCIL CONSIDER IMPLEMENTING FURTHER PROHIBITION OF SMOKING MEASURES TO INCLUDE ALL TOWN OWNED PROPERTIES.
3. THAT STAFF INVESTIGATE OPPORTUNITIES TO HIRE AN INDIVIDUAL TO ACT AS A SMOKE-FREE AMBASSADOR FOR THE TOWN TO HELP PROMOTE AND EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ABOUT THE NEW NO-SMOKING BY-LAW AND REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL IN CONJUNCTION WITH A DRAFT BYLAW FOR COUNCIL'S CONSIDERATION, WITH DETAILS INCLUDING ALL ASSOCIATED COSTS.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0209

- 17.1.7 THAT COUNCIL RECEIVE REPORT NO. DAS-2012-0029, "STATUS OF PROPERTY TAX ARREARS" FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0210

- 17.1.8 1. THAT REPORT RC-2012-0008 BE RECEIVED.
2. THAT MAYOR AND COUNCIL AUTHORIZE STAFF TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH NOVITA INTERPARES LTD. FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR A MUNICIPAL CULTURAL PLAN.
3. THAT THE PURCHASING MANAGER BE AUTHORIZED TO ISSUE A PURCHASE ORDER AND AGREEMENT ACCORDINGLY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$41,000.00 NOT INCLUDING TAXES, FOR REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL CONTRACT NO. RPC2012-0001 (NET EXPENDITURE AFTER FUNDING TO BE \$20,500.00, NOT INCLUDING TAXES).

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION cont'd:**RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0211**

17.1.9 THAT TOWN COUNCIL DECLARE THE 6TH ANNUAL 'ARTXTREME' EVENT BEING HELD AT THE ROC FACILITY ON SATURDAY, JUNE 2ND, A 'COMMUNITY FESTIVAL EVENT'.

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0212

17.1.10 THAT TOWN COUNCIL DECLARE THE 'GIVE A MIRACLE A CHANCE' 2012 CHARITY BASEBALL TOURNAMENT EVENT BEING HELD AT WEST PARK ON SATURDAY, JUNE 16TH WITH A RAIN DATE OF SATURDAY, JUNE 23RD, A 'COMMUNITY FESTIVAL EVENT' AND GRANT PERMISSION TO OPERATE ITS ANNUAL BEER TENT DURING THE EVENT, AND THAT TOWN STAFF CONTACT THE PORTABLE TOILET SUPPLIER TO ENSURE THAT THERE WILL BE AT LEAST ONE ACCESSIBLE PORTABLE TOILET AVAILABLE DURING THIS EVENT.

Reports:17.2 Report from the Administrative Services Department:

17.2.1 Licensing Appeal Hearing Committee Recommendation
Report No. DAS-2012-0025

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0213

1. THAT COUNCIL RECEIVE REPORT NO. DAS-2012-0025 REGARDING A LICENSING APPEAL HEARING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION.
2. THAT COUNCIL ENDORSE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE LICENSING APPEAL HEARING COMMITTEE AND PERMIT THE APPELLANT TO APPLY FOR A REFRESHMENT VEHICLE LICENSE IN ACCORDANCE WITH BY-LAW NO. 2002-0169 (LI-3), UPON MEETING THE CONDITIONS IMPOSED BY THE LICENSING APPEAL HEARING COMMITTEE.

17.3 Reports from the Economic Development Division:

17.3.1 Grant Application Program – Request for Funding – Georgina Community Food Pantry
Report No. ED-2012-0007

10. ADOPTION OF ITEMS NOT REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION cont'd:**RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0214**

- A. THAT REPORT NO. ED-2012-0007 BE RECEIVED.
- B. THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATION OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:

THAT THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REQUESTS THAT THE TOWN OF GEORGINA PROVIDE IN-KIND SERVICES TO THE GEORGINA FOOD PANTRY UP TO THEIR MAXIMUM REQUESTED FUNDING AMOUNT OF \$34,800.00. THESE IN-KIND SERVICES INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO; PROVIDING ASSISTANCE WITH STRATEGIC PLANNING, SUCCESSION PLANNING FOR BOARD MEMBERS, SOURCING OF GRANT FUNDING, MARKETING AND LEGAL ADVICE.

17.3.2 Jackson's Point Village Association – Business Improvement Area – Appointment to the Board of Directors

Report No. ED-2012-0008

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0215

- A. THAT REPORT NO. ED-2012-0008 BE RECEIVED.
- B. THAT THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUAL BE APPOINTED TO THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT TO THE JACKSON'S POINT VILLAGE ASSOCIATION:

ALICE LAUKKANEN

- C. THAT THE BY-LAW ATTACHED AS SCHEDULE '1' BE ADOPTED BY COUNCIL.

Carried.....

10.2 Matters subject to individual conflicts

None.

11. DEPUTATIONS:

- 11.1 Jim Keenan respecting the Town of Georgina Procedural By-law requesting amendments to the sections concerning deputations to meetings and the publication of meeting agendas.

Reverend Keenan requested that Council amend the policies of the Procedural By-law to allow citizens to make deputations at meetings by giving notice on the day of the meeting and suggested that agendas be published ten days prior to meetings and that only emergency items be added on an agenda on the meeting date.

Reverend Keenan stated that citizens do not get an opportunity to speak to Council at a critical time when Council should be seeking information to make an informed decision. Canadians expect a democratic process, noting that Georgina is the only municipality that puts up such roadblocks as all other municipalities within York Region allow citizens to give notice of their desire to make a deputation one day before or at the meeting itself.

Reverend Keenan stated that in the same vein, if the agenda was published ten days prior to a meeting it would give the public an opportunity to be properly informed on an issue.

Addendum should only be added in emergency situations.

Reverend Keenan urged Council to make changes to the procedure.

Mayor Grossi noted that there has never been a situation where Council has denied an individual from speaking at a Council meeting unless they were threatening or insulting.

Winanne Grant, Chief Administrative Officer, stated that the Municipal Act has been rewritten with a view to improving the transparency and accountability of meetings and has put in place requirements for matters on a public agenda to be advertised a minimum number of days before the meeting. If a member of the public wants to discuss a topic and wants to appear at a Council meeting, the same notice criteria needs to be met as the rest of the public needs to know that this will be discussed so that they can be in attendance and listen. She understands that what Reverend Keenan means is that if he notices an agenda item posted on the Friday before a Monday meeting and wishes to speak on a topic on that agenda, that he should be permitted to speak on it at the time of the meeting without being on the agenda. The Town's Procedural By-law is not currently written that way, but staff will review it, along with other municipal by-laws to determine if it needs to be amended.

Ms. Grant noted that Council should not be considering an individual showing up at a meeting and bringing up a topic that is not listed on the agenda.

11. DEPUTATIONS cont'd:

Harold Lenters, Director of Planning and Building, stated that with respect to statutory public meetings, staff requires public consultation and the Planning Act establishes the process for the circulation of notice through which the public is provided an opportunity to submit comments.

Moved by Councillor Craig

Seconded by Regional Councillor Wheeler

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0216

THAT THE DEPUTATION MADE BY JIM KEENAN RESPECTING THE TOWN OF GEORGINA PROCEDURAL BY-LAW REQUESTING AMENDMENTS TO THE SECTIONS CONCERNING DEPUTATIONS TO MEETINGS AND THE PUBLICATION OF MEETING AGENDAS BE RECEIVED AND REFERRED TO STAFF TO PREPARE A REPORT FOR SUBMISSION TO COUNCIL.

Carried.....

12. PRESENTATIONS:

None.

13. CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS REQUIRING SEPARATE DISCUSSION:

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS:

14.1 Statutory Public Meeting:

(7:36 p.m.)

- 14.1.1 Revised Applications for Draft Plan of Subdivision (19TG-2005-006), Draft Plan of Condominium (19CDM-2005-007), and amendments to the Official Plan as amended by the current Sutton Secondary Plan and Sutton/Jackson's Point Secondary Plan and Zoning By-law No. 500
BRIARWOOD ESTATES LTD. (beneficial owner) c/o ENZO DI GIOVANNI
Part Lot 18, Concession 9 (NG)
AGENT: Michael Smith Planning Consultants

Report No. PB-2012-0042

Mayor Grossi explained the procedure for a public meeting at this time.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Harold Lenters, Director of Planning and Building, explained that a public meeting had been held several months ago concerning the original application for a much larger development and since that time, the application has been revised following concerns raised at public meetings. This is considered a new public meeting at this point. He explained that the local policies must conform to the Provincial and Regional policies. The Town's current document in force is the old Sutton Secondary Plan which was repealed by Council and replaced on June 30, 2010. The applicants are seeking changes to these documents which is their right. Council then needs to hold a meeting under the Planning Act to consider the application. He explained that the policies in the current plan designate much of the land as Tourist Commercial and the intention under the current plan saw the subject property as Tourist Commercial with some residential and environmental lands.

Mr. Lenters explained that the landowner is proposing this project does not want Tourist Commercial uses and is instead looking for residential development and submitted an application as infill development. The new Sutton Secondary Plan recognizes this land as opportunity land for development but does not grant that approval and therefore the landowner must go through a process and evaluation for Council to consider an amendment to the Plan. By virtue of the original application and because it is a uniquely shaped property, a condominium development is what is being proposing. He noted that if a developer makes an application, the Town is obligated to consider it or the Ontario Municipal Board makes the final decision on the property.

Michael Smith of Michael Smith Planning Consultants, agent for the applicant, stated that the subject land, known locally as the Jackson's Point Cottage site, has been a residential and cottage enclave for over 60 years and continues to be used for that purpose. There are several structures on the property, 23 of which are considered to be dwelling units as determined by the Town pursuant to the Town's zoning by-law.

Mr. Smith stated that the subject land is 1.48 ha or 3.7 acres with frontage on Lake Drive of 108 metres (354 feet) and frontage on Metro Road of 53 metres (174 feet). The main use is served by a private driveway to Lake Drive and the house on the lot fronting on Metro Road is served by an independent driveway.

Mr. Smith explained that the planning applications were initially submitted for a 40 unit townhouse development. Owing in large part to concerns expressed by the residents and the Town regarding density and form, this proposal was abandoned. He stated that at the Town's suggestion, the owner then explored a condominium 5 storey, 58 apartment option which was presented to the public at informal neighbourhood meetings in the spring/summer of 2008 but was not favourably received.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Mr. Smith explained that the new beneficial owner, having regard to concerns raised previously and taking into account the environmental constraints on the site, while balancing these matters with those of economic viability, has proposed a 28 unit freehold townhouse development on a private condominium road. Vehicular access is proposed utilizing a throughway linking Metro Road and Lake Drive East.

Mr. Smith stated that the Town staff report summarizes the applicable policies and zoning provisions. The Town's 2010 Council-adopted Sutton/Jackson's Point Secondary Plan, which is currently under appeal for reasons unrelated to the subject development proposal, would designate the subject land as Redevelopment Opportunity Area # 1. The policy states that *"it is anticipated that Redevelopment Opportunity Area 1 will be planned to accommodate a medium density residential development"* having regard to such principles as protection of the environment, excellent architectural and urban design and appropriate interface with the existing residential homes. An amendment to the Secondary Plan is required. He believes the proposed development of 28 townhouse units meets the intent of the redevelopment policies in the Sutton/Jackson's Point Secondary Plan.

Mr. Smith stated that given the state of the site and the operation of the current use, it is in the public interest to redevelop the site as anticipated in the Secondary Plan policies and this has been made clear in discussions with the residents and the Town. The environmental attributes of the site have been identified, including the Regional flood plain, the boundary and buffers to the Provincially Significant Wetland, and the Lake Simcoe erosion limit. The Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority has been involved in the establishment of the constraint boundaries from the outset and continue to be involved.

Mr. Smith stated that the architecture proposed is of excellent quality and will result in a significant benefit to the community and they will continue to work with the Town regarding the refinements to the architectural design. He stated that the interface with the residential homes to the west is appropriate, that it is not uncommon to have townhouses and single family lots abutting each other. The design significantly reduces the density from that proposed previously and is less than the maximum of 33 units permitted for medium density uses in the existing Secondary Plan. A 3 metre buffer along the west side of the property, to be owned by the condominium corporation, is an effort to protect the mature boundary trees which currently provide a good vegetative screen.

Mr. Smith stated that the zoning amendment proposes to change the zoning from Residential (R) to Medium Density (R3) and will conform to the Secondary Plan which sets the direction for future growth. He requested that Council receive the planner's report as recommended by staff and that he will continue to work with staff towards refining the development plan.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Todd Evershed, Planner, stated that the subject property is currently designated Tourist Commercial, Open Space and Low Density Residential in the Sutton Secondary Plan. The existing Tourist Commercial designation permits retail stores, restaurant, hotels, motels, inns and commercial uses related to the recreational use of Lake Simcoe, while the Open Space designated permits parks, open space and private open space. The Low Density Residential designation permits low density residential uses in the form of single detached, semi-detached, duplex and link dwelling units. The application seeks to re-designate the majority of the subject land to Medium Density Residential, permit a maximum of 28 townhouse dwellings within the Medium Density Residential designation, permit an increase in the overall height of the proposed townhouse dwellings from 12 metres to 13 metres and to exempt the proposed development from Section 14.4.1 (h) so that the erection of the proposed townhouse dwellings may be permitted on lots which front on a private road.

Mr. Evershed explained that the subject area contains single family dwellings and seasonal cottages. The general residential policies are to maintain the small town character and provides that the predominant forms shall be low density but where medium density is proposed, it shall be in areas close to the core area of Jackson's Point. He noted that where medium density proposals are located adjacent to low density residential uses, compatibility between uses shall be provided through the use of landscape buffers, gradation of height, setbacks and road separations.

Mr. Evershed stated that the Sutton Secondary Plan policies encourage residential development that reflects a high standard of architectural design through the use of high quality materials and appropriate site planning provisions and shall have regard to a number of design criteria. He stated that the architectural plans submitted generally look attractive, but staff has concerns relating to the proposed height of the buildings within Blocks 1 and 2 including privacy issues created by the proposed upper level balconies facing the backyards of the existing residential lots fronting on Brule Lakeway.

Mr. Evershed stated that overall, staff believes that there are a number of issues that need to be discussed including a reduction in the number of units, reducing the proposed height and proposed building setbacks.

Harold Lenters, Director of Planning and Building, stated that staff could proceed to amend the zoning from single family dwelling Low Density to Tourism Commercial C5 zone. It was determined through the process of the new Secondary Plan that the redevelopment areas were noted in the community. This redevelopment was

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

chosen because of the existing residential uses abutting the area and it was no longer appropriate to leave it designed as Tourist Commercial. The property is being requested for re-designation to allow for residential development and to allow for medium density to make use of the current infrastructure to meet Georgina's density targets.

Mr. Lenters explained that there were extensive well-notified meetings held and a lot of input was received. Staff took a different approach in the Secondary Plan because they could not determine at that time exactly what policies should be put in that stated a certain number of units should be proposed. In principle, the possibility exists to utilize the lands for more than what they were being used for at that time. This meeting is an extra step with public input to figure out the appropriate form and size of the development for this area so that it is compatible with the surrounding land uses.

Mr. Lenters stated that through this application no open space would be lost and ultimately more will be created through this development proposal. The Sutton Secondary Plan presents environmental protection areas and a Greenland system based on the best information staff could obtain, but decisions on Greenland boundaries have to be based on the exact science of the lands themselves. Greenlands have been designated according to known creeks and wetlands.

Mr. Lenters explained that if the condominium property ceased to function properly, the issue could be addressed legally. He noted that there has been a change in the Condominium Act to create a situation where parts of the development are in private ownership and other parts in condominium ownership to protect the interests and overall design of the plan and the environmental lands.

Cathy Hasted noted that she is not opposed to this development, but is opposed to the quantity of the development. She stated that she owns 95 Brule Lakeway which is a cottage and is the president of the local Beach Association and as such is concerned with erosion in the water and additional traffic creation. She would like a development on the subject property, but in a smaller form. The medium density portion of the development is part of the unit that would be facing into her backyard with two balconies. She noted that there are no homes, just cottages on Brule Lakeway. All other residents come up to enjoy the cottage life and do not want people looking into their backyards. She requested that she wants the development to proceed, just decreased in volume and with no balconies looking into backyards.

Jeno Toth, owner of 85 Brule Lakeway, stated that a medium density development would not make sense in an area surrounded by single family dwellings. He would like to see the rental cottages removed or demolished and the subject property cleaned up, but the proposal is too high density.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Mr. Lenters stated that the Secondary Plan was a collaboration with the community at large in dealing with a lot of issues regarding land density, types of units, houses, policy areas, etc. The Plan was a combination of two years of work and discussion. The notion that medium density development is not possible or impossible to put beside single family dwellings is not a substantiated argument that would hold up at the Ontario Municipal Board level. If the property owner wants the property to become medium density, he could appeal or amend the Secondary Plan. Everyone agreed that the subject land could be considered for medium density development. There is excellent medium density development within York Region that is not exactly like the neighbouring developments, but is compatible. Municipalities are directed to integrate other forms of development.

Rianna Wallace, owner of 83 Brule Lakeway, stated that she has owned her property for forty years. She inquired who the members of the steering committee are that considered this issue and why she and other long-term residents did not receive any correspondence concerning this issue. She did not receive any requests for opinions or solicitations concerning the secondary plan.

Mr. Lenters explained that it is a requirement of the Town to have secondary plans in place and to review them every five years. The notification is extensive for these plan reviews but the Town does not recognize every individual by personal notification; notification is done by mass publication through the local newspaper, as well as advertising for individuals to sit on the steering committee. He noted that the process cost \$100,000 in 2010.

Mr. Lenters explained that all documentation is posted on the Town's website including all reports and all draft documents, as well as an explanation as to the steps taken and where the process was headed. He stated that the Steering Committee members reviewed all policies a number of times. Public workshops were held including one all-weekend public workshop to talk about the lands involved in the Plan. With regard to the subject property, residents were made aware of the previous application for townhouses, so the process was already in cycle. The general sentiment from the residents was to reduce the density and that was incorporated in the current plan.

Ms. Wallace stated that the process may not be the best process to follow since a large number of long time residents knew nothing about this application, that even if money was spent on the process, perhaps it could have been spent in a more effective way. If residents immediately affected by this proposed development who own land on the immediate streets bordering this development are not looped into the process and are not consulted, they were not actually permitted an opportunity to provide dialogue and input.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Mr. Lenters stated that no plan has unanimous public input from all those affected, noting that one piece of correspondence attached a petition containing names of those in support of the proposal. What is important is at the end of the day, the proposal conforms to planning justification for density. He stated that staff have heard and seen legitimate issues and the Secondary Plan is directing the process based on sound planning principles.

Lesley Blank of 799 South Drive stated that she is concerned about the environmental impact of the development. She has been in this area for thirty-three years and is aware that the property borders very closely to a creek and is a home to turtles, beaver, coyote, egrets and ducks, and that there have been two small floods occur during which residents could canoe across South Drive and east on Metro Road. If the subject property is built upon and another flood occurs, the water would run towards her house.

Ms. Blank is also concerned about the traffic situation on Lake Drive. She has noticed that a traffic study was performed in October but is not sure what days of the week it was performed on. She noted that during weekends, it is difficult to walk or drive along Lake Drive due to the amount of visitors to the parks and beaches. She stated that she is also concerned with building fill because she does not want to have to live through a lot of dust and cracks forming in her house. The local roads are not meant to accommodate large construction trucks.

Ms. Blank stated that a lot of people are not opposing this proposal only because of the fact that the Jackson's Point cottages are in such a terrible state that they would agree to anything in order to get rid of them. She moved to Georgina because she wanted the 'cottage flavour'. She stated that if you investigate the Wasaga Beach area, it used to be solely cottages but all of the lakefront now contains condominiums, apartments and large houses which replaced most of the cottages.

Gwendolyn Moore of 898 South Drive, stated that she is a new homeowner and has heard a lot of comments that she agrees with. She believes there should be more discussion on the proposal, noting that she was notified of this meeting by a flyer at her door and that it was not published in the newspaper.

Mr. Lenters advised that staff mailed out notices to all homes within 120 metres of the subject property with respect to this application and a notice of the application was posted in the newspaper.

Ms. Moore stated that it seems like this spot is the jewel of Jackson's Point and as such, has the potential to be either the centre of the area or to cut the community in half if it is developed into a form that does not fit with the area. Although medium density is being considered, it has always been based on compatibility. She stated

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

that she chose to live in this area because she preferred a country area to the city. She is aware of developments geared towards seniors which tend to be one-storey terraced buildings or semi-detached dwellings. She does not understand how three or four storey units would be appropriate for seniors.

Ms. Moore inquired if the potential residents will access the lake. The ownership of the lakefront is challenged from time to time, with associations believing they have rights to some beachfront. With legal challenges on ownership coming up from time to time, she is concerned with how future owners of the subject units will access the water.

It was noted that the Town has not exercised its rights along the lakeshore because some of the uses have existed for over 100 years.

Ms. Moore stated that Lake Drive is a multi-use recreational roadway and is used by more than just vehicles. She stated that there is already a lot of traffic along Lake Drive because motorists need to travel down Brule Lakeway to get to the parking area for De La Salle Park. The fact that there will be access to Lake Drive from the development is one of the main concerns of residents.

Mr. Lenters stated that based on direction from Town Council at the last meeting held, staff doubled the notice circulation area to 240 metres surrounding the subject lands. Policies of the Redevelopment Opportunity Area 1 include reference to senior's orientated development. The Region modified the plan to remove reference to 'seniors' because municipalities cannot legally zone for people with respect to such things as their age or race. It was the intention originally that this may become a candidate site for a senior's development and that leads to medium density development.

Mr. Winchester of 1974 Metro Road stated that his property backs onto the Jacksons Point Cottages property and he has put up with a lot of problems in relation to this property for 38 years. He does not believe traffic would be a problem as there are only 28 townhouses proposed and not a lot of residents would be leaving or arriving at the same time. There are currently 23 existing homes on the property and several vehicles, approximately 60 to 70 vehicles every weekend and not one person has complained about those cars on Lake Drive or Metro Road.

Peter Care, owner of 811 Lake Drive East, is located to the west of the subject property and on the corner of Lake Drive and Brule Lakeway. He stated that most of the people who live in this community do not support the roadway that is proposed to run through the development from Metro Road to Lake Drive, believing that it will increase traffic and increase the flow of traffic from Metro Road into the Lake Drive community. He inquired who would truly benefit from this development.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Mr. Care stated that he is new to this community and applied to build a home on his property and the proposed height was refused because of the impact on the community. The proposed development is considering 28 homes at a greater height than he had proposed for his home. His application was refused due to the proposed height of 11 metres and he had to reduce the height of the home because of the impact his windows would have on the privacy of his neighbours. He noted that his maximum height is 29 feet. He also inquired why low density would not be sufficient on the subject property.

A resident stated that most of the residents on Brule Lakeway desire low density development. She purchased her home three years ago but if she had been aware of this proposal, she would not have. She did not expect this large a proposal on the property. She suggested that Council members look at the area in person, noting that there are many birds and wild animals in back yards. If this development is approved, she will have a parking lot behind her. She watched trees being removed from the property beside her which resulted in her trees being flooded and killed and believes her entire property will be under water if this development is approved. She stated that she does not agree with displacing the ecosystem in this way. Council should consider approving this application as low density, with a minimum amount of residents and vehicles.

Paul Harpley, President of South Lake Simcoe Naturalists, stated that the club is a fully federated club of a provincial Ontario nature organization with many members in Georgina and in the South Lake Simcoe area. He stated that this area is quite significant and is in a very strategic location in that it is in the middle of Jackson's Point. It is part of the North Gwillimbury Forest, the last piece to the extreme east of Lake Simcoe lowlands and affects the natural heritage, reptiles, amphibians and significant wildlife. The property is also hydrologically important and from a natural heritage perspective, this area has quite a few constraints.

Mr. Harpley stated that development would result in a loss of habitat from the impact on the provincially significant wetland and the ecosystem. He is not convinced that townhouses or medium density is good for this location.

Mr. Harpley stated that they are in agreement with intensification, depending on its appropriateness to an area. His organization is not convinced that intensification in this area is a good idea or is appropriate for the area. The subject property is a natural area and an important corridor in the York Regional Plan and is identified as such. It is an important fisheries habitat, noting that a local collector of bait uses this area.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Mr. Harpley explained that there was an original waterhouse on this site, is a glacial base and a sensitive wetland area. Water is important to wildlife habitat and should have the least impact possible. He stated that this is a chance for the Town to say 'here is a remnant wildlife area and what can we allow to happen here that will respect the wishes of most of the residents'.

Mr. Harpley stated that the current zoning on the property has been in existence for many years. Tourist Commercial uses would suit the area if it was developed in a respectful way.

Doug MacEachern, 831 Lake Drive East, stated that his property has as much history as anyone else's. He stated that he is in full support of what he has seen so far. He feels that there would not be much impact on the community in which he has lived for almost 60 years. He stated that he believes the proposed development will lessen the traffic in the area. He mentioned that there is a lot of history on the creek and is a large concern, as is the headwaters where the proposed subdivision is currently being proposed. He stated that he is in full support of this proposal.

Alex Karpoff, owner of 91 and 93 Brule Lakeway, stated that he is new to this area and intends to retire in this community, but he has a few concerns regarding the proposed development so close to his property. He stated that he read the deeds to both his properties and it indicates that he has a right-of-way for a width of 40 feet to the west of the properties and all the way to the high point of Lake Simcoe, and he would like clarification on whether or not this land belongs to the Town or to the landowner.

Council advised that a legal opinion has indicated that some of the descriptions on title to properties adjacent to the lake were incorrect and did not accurately describe the true ownership or rights, but the Town does not wish to exercise its rights in restricting the use or enjoyment by property owners. The local association has identified the road end of Brule Lakeway as private and the Town has respected that and a lot of other associations have done the same. It was noted that this situation could be challenged if desired.

It was noted that there are some exceptions such as the Springwood Association dock which is on a water lot granted by the Province and is attached to the side of the road.

Mr. Karpoff inquired if the residents of the new development would have same access rights on that dock and whether or not their deeds will read the same as his do. He stated that he understands the proposed units to be approximately 1,300 square feet and the pricing may depend on water access. The Tourist Commercial use could be a successful business but it was not in the current instance. He stated

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

that if the proposed condominium townhouse development was not successful, the neighbourhood would suffer tremendously. He does not wish to lose the value of his recent investment in his lots.

Craig McDonald, 820 South Drive, stated that he has been a resident for 18 years and would like to go on record that he believes the proposal to be too large for the subject property.

Mr. Smith indicated that the units are proposed to be between 1,300 and 2,000 square feet in size. The water table is high in this area and accordingly the building would be built close to grade. The garage would be the main floor. The units could cater to some seniors who can manage stairs, but it would not be solely for seniors. A Traffic Impact Study was conducted and it was determined that if access to Lake Drive was closed off, more people would use Brule Lakeway to access Lake Drive. He indicated that there is currently a lot of traffic from this site and the new development would not contribute much more traffic. There are currently 23 existing dwelling units, and other structures where individuals live but do not constitute dwelling units and a difference of five more units would not have much of an impact on the community. Not all of the residents travel in or out of the site at the same time, and noted that two points of access will serve to split the traffic up.

It was noted that the Courting House is designated as a town-owned lot.

Larry Fera of 89 Brule Lakeway stated that he attended a meeting at De La Salle Park where the square footage was noted to be 1,300 square feet to 2,200 square feet, rather than 2,000 square feet and he would like this clarified.

Mr. Lenters stated that through planning tools, developments can be designed to cater to seniors, but municipalities cannot legally direct that developments must be occupied by only seniors.

Ms. Hasted stated that you must live on Brule Lakeway in order to understand what the residents are feeling. The traffic survey was conducted two years ago in the middle of the week in the rain, but it should be conducted on a long weekend. There are 23 cottages on site currently, but not all of the residents drive. If a unit is a family home, there might be an average of three vehicles per unit. The residents would like the development smaller and prettier. She suggested that the higher density units be relocated to the opposite side of the property so that the units can have balconies without interference to surrounding homes.

Mr. Harpley stated that his organization was involved in trying to get as much natural heritage preserved at the headwaters of the stream, noting that it is degraded now, but is still a significantly sensitive wetland.

14. PUBLIC MEETINGS cont'd:

Ms. Moore inquired about light pollution, explaining that currently during the night the area is dark with no streetlights, but the design involves lighting. She suggested that if the top stories of the units are built with a lot of glass as proposed, they will provide a significant amount of light pollution and may have an impact on the wildlife.

Mr. Lenters stated that staff will ensure that all interested residents leave their names on the interested party sheets at the back of the Council Chambers so that they will be circulated/notified for future meetings on this application. He stated that once staff is satisfied that everything has been considered and addressed as well as it can be, they will bring a report back to Council.

Winanne Grant, Chief Administrative Officer, stated that staff will look into the parking matter that was mentioned by a resident because if the property in question is not zoned to allow for commercial parking, there is an infraction taking place that would need to be dealt with. Parking on resident's front lawns for a fee will also be looked into.

Moved by Regional Councillor Wheeler

Seconded by Councillor Smockum

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0217

- A. THAT REPORT PB-2012-0042 BE RECEIVED AS INFORMATION.
- B. THAT STAFF REPORT FURTHER TO COUNCIL FOLLOWING THE RECEIPT AND ASSESSMENT OF PUBLIC AND AGENCY COMMENTS AND THAT THE APPLICANT UNDERTAKE TO ADDRESS THE CONCERNS, MATTERS AND ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN REPORT PB-2012-0042, TOGETHER WITH ANY ISSUES RAISED AT THE STATUTORY PUBLIC MEETING.

Carried.....

The Council meeting recessed at 9:37 p.m.; the meeting resumed at 9:45 p.m.

Mayor Grossi left the chair at 9:45 p.m. and Deputy Mayor Wheeler took the chair at this time.

15 COMMUNICATIONS:

15.2 Matters for Disposition:

- 15.2.1 Town of East Gwillimbury inviting Mayor and Council to the Official Re-Opening of the Nokiidaa Trail from 2nd Concession Road to Green Lane, on Saturday, August 11, 2012.

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Naomi

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0218

THAT CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE TOWN OF EAST GWILLIMBURY INVITING MAYOR AND COUNCIL TO THE OFFICIAL RE-OPENING OF THE NOKIIDAA TRAIL FROM 2ND CONCESSION ROAD TO GREEN LANE, ON SATURDAY, AUGUST 11, 2012, BE RECEIVED.

Carried.....

- 15.2.2 Town of East Gwillimbury concerning the Upper York Sewage Solution project.

Moved by Councillor Craig

Seconded by Councillor Szollosy

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0219

THAT TOWN COUNCIL ENDORSE THE TOWN OF EAST GWILLIMBURY'S RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE UPPER YORK SEWAGE SOLUTION PROJECT AND SUBSEQUENTLY REQUEST YORK REGION TO ESTABLISH A PERMANENT COMMUNICATION CENTRE IN THE TOWN OF GEORGINA TOWN OFFICE TO ENSURE RESIDENTS AND STAKEHOLDERS HAVE ALL ISSUES AND QUESTIONS ADDRESSED WITH REGARD TO THE UPPER YORK SEWAGE SOLUTION (UYSS) PROJECT AS WELL AS THE YORK DURHAM SEWAGE SYSTEM (YDSS), AND THAT A COPY OF THIS RESOLUTION BE FORWARDED TO ALL MUNICIPALITIES WITHIN THE LAKE SIMCOE WATERSHED.

Carried.....

15. COMMUNICATIONS cont'd:

- 15.2.3 Jason Girvan requesting permission to host a Street Party on Wrendale Crescent in Keswick on Saturday, July 28th between the hours of 12:00 noon and 12:00 a.m.

Moved by Councillor Davison

Seconded by Councillor Szollosy

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0220

THAT TOWN COUNCIL GRANT PERMISSION TO JASON GIRVAN TO HOST A STREET PARTY ON WRENDALE CRESCENT BETWEEN DOVEDALE DRIVE AND LOWNDES AVENUE ON SATURDAY, JULY 28TH BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 12:00 NOON AND 12:00 A.M. AND THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, LOCAL POLICE AND EMS SERVICE BE ADVISED OF THE EVENT.

Carried.....

- 15.2.4 Georgina Environmental Advisory Committee requesting Council permit a member of the GEAC to sit on the newly established Georgina Trails Committee.

Winanne Grant, Chief Administrative Officer, stated that the Terms of Reference for the Georgina Trails Committee have not yet been drafted and this must be done before members are appointed to the Committee.

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Craig

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0221

THAT CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE GEORGINA ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE REQUESTING COUNCIL PERMIT A MEMBER OF THE GEAC TO SIT ON THE NEWLY ESTABLISHED GEORGINA TRAILS COMMITTEE BE REFERRED TO THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER FOR CLARIFICATION AS TO WHEN THE COMMITTEE WILL BE FORMALLY ESTABLISHED.

Carried.....

15. COMMUNICATIONS cont'd:

- 15.2.5 Carrie Gough requesting the Mayor to attend their kick-off event and requesting a donation from The Town of Georgina to assist the Allegro Dance Academy students in competing in the 'Dance the World' dance event held at Walt Disney World, Florida.

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Davison

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0222

THAT CORRESPONDENCE FROM CARRIE GOUGH REQUESTING THE MAYOR TO ATTEND THEIR KICK-OFF EVENT AND REQUESTING A DONATION FROM THE TOWN OF GEORGINA TO ASSIST THE ALLEGRO DANCE ACADEMY STUDENTS IN COMPETING IN THE 'DANCE THE WORLD' DANCE EVENT BEING HELD AT WALT DISNEY WORLD IN FLORIDA BE RECEIVED, THAT IT BE SUGGESTED THAT THEY SEND SIMILAR CORRESPONDENCE TO THE LOCAL SOCIAL CLUBS AND ORGANIZATIONS THROUGHOUT GEORGINA SEEKING DONATIONS AND THAT THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION DETERMINE IF A GRANT MAY BE APPLIED FOR THIS CIRCUMSTANCE AND REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL ON THE RESULTS.

Carried.....

Council dealt with Addendum item No. 15.2.6 at this time.

- 15.2.6 Patty Dawson, Elmhurst Beach Association, requesting an exemption from the noise by-law to accommodate music being played by a disc jockey and a band during its grand re-opening of restored shorelines at Bayview Park in Keswick on Saturday, June 2nd, from 10am to 8pm, and an exemption from the parking by-law to accommodate any on-street parking that may occur.

Moved by Councillor Davison

Seconded by Councillor Szollosy

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0223

THAT COUNCIL GRANT RELIEF FROM THE NOISE BY-LAW TO ACCOMMODATE MUSIC BEING PLAYED BY A DISC JOCKEY AND A BAND DURING THE ELMHURST BEACH ASSOCIATION'S GRAND REOPENING OF

15. COMMUNICATIONS cont'd:

RESTORED SHORELINES AT BAYVIEW PARK IN KESWICK ON SATURDAY, JUNE 2ND, FROM 10:00 A.M. TO 8:00 P.M. ALONG WITH RELIEF FROM THE PARKING BY-LAW DURING THIS EVENT, AND THAT AUTHORITY BE DELEGATED TO THE BY-LAW DEPARTMENT AND FIRE DEPARTMENT TO DETERMINE PERMISSIBLE PARKING AREAS.

Carried.....

16. PETITIONS:

None.

17. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AND STAFF REPORTS:17.4 Reports from the Operations and Engineering Department:

17.4.1 Community Safety Zones

Report No. OED-2012-0024

Council members suggested the implementation of an advanced left turn signal southbound on Dalton Road at High Street, along with safety zones at Dalton Road and Woodriver Bend.

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Davison

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0224

1. THAT REPORT NO. OED-2012-0024 BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.
2. THAT THE YORK REGION TRANSPORTATION SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT OF MAY 2, 2012 AND CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER DATED MAY 9, 2012 BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.
3. THAT THE DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS AND ENGINEERING REQUEST A MEETING WITH REGION STAFF TO ADDRESS ADDITIONAL SAFETY CONCERNS WITH REGARD TO THE INTERSECTION OF DALTON ROAD AND BASELINE ROAD.

17. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS AND STAFF REPORTS cont'd:

4. THAT THE TOWN CLERK FORWARD CORRESPONDENCE TO THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK CONFIRMING THE TOWN OF GEORGINA'S ACCEPTANCE OF TWO IDENTIFIED COMMUNITY SAFETY ZONES ON OLD HOMESTEAD ROAD AND GLENWOODS AVENUE.
5. THAT IN THE EVENT REGIONAL STAFF CAN ACCOMMODATE THE TOWN'S REQUESTS PERTAINING TO THE BASELINE ROAD LOCATION, THAT THE CLERK FORWARD CORRESPONDENCE CONFIRMING THAT LOCATION.

Carried.....

Staff was directed to consider the designation of the entire Lake Drive as a Community Safety Zone in a report to Council.

17.4.2 ROC Financial Summary for the First Winter Operations
2012

Report No. OED-2012-0022

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Craig

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0225

THAT REPORT NO. OED-2012-0022 ENTITLED 'ROC FINANCIAL SUMMARY FOR THE FIRST WINTER OPERATIONS 2012' BE RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION.

Carried.....

The Director of Operations and Engineering was requested to make projections towards the future 2012/2013 season at the ROC facility in a future report to Council.

18. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

None.

19. REGIONAL BUSINESS:

None.

20. BY-LAWS:

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the following by-law be given three readings:

- 20.1 By-law Number 2012-0050 (PL-7) Being a By-law to amend By-law Number 2011-0015 (PL-7), being a by-law to establish fees for planning applications and services within the Town of Georgina, to impose fees related to requests for common Radiocommunication Tower Siting Applications.

Carried.....

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the following by-law be given three readings:

- 20.2 By-law Number 2012-0051 (PWO-2) Being a By-law authorizing the temporary road closure of Lake Drive from Brule Lakeway to Salvation Army Road on July 1st from 9:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. for Canada Day events.

Carried.....

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the following by-law be given three readings:

- 20.3 A By-law to authorize the Mayor and Clerk to enter into an Agreement with Inland Iron & Metals respecting the handling of materials on Sundays and Statutory Holidays

Tie VoteLost.....

20. BY-LAWS cont'd:

Moved by Councillor Davison

Seconded by Councillor Szollosy

That the following by-law be given three readings:

- 20.4 By-law Number 2012-0052 (PWO-2) Being a By-law to amend By-law No. 94-60 (PWO-2) and assume certain roads as public highway; Kerfoot Crescent.

Carried.....

Moved by Councillor Craig

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the following by-law be given three readings:

- 20.5 By-law Number 2012-0053 (COU-1) Being a By-law to appoint individuals to vacancies within the Board of Management for the Jackson's Point Village Association (BIA) for the remainder of the 2010-2014 term of office.

Carried.....

21. MOTIONS:

None.

22. NOTICES OF MOTION:

None.

23. OTHER BUSINESS:

None.

24. RECESS COUNCIL AND RESOLVE INTO CLOSED MEETING:

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Craig

That the Council Meeting recess at this time (10:22 p.m.) and move into a Closed Meeting pursuant to Section 239 of The Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, to consider:

- i) Potential Litigation, Section 239(2)(e), MA; potential litigation regarding Municipal Contract

Carried.....

25. RISE AND REPORT FROM CLOSED MEETING:

The Council members rose from the Closed Meeting at this time (10:28 p.m.) with the following motion:

- i) Potential Litigation, Section 239(2)(e), MA; potential litigation regarding Municipal Contract

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Smockum

RESOLUTION NO. C-2012-0226

THAT STAFF PROVIDE AN UPDATE TO TOWN COUNCIL CONCERNING POTENTIAL LITIGATION REGARDING A MUNICIPAL CONTRACT.

Carried.....

26. CONFIRMING BY-LAW

Moved by Councillor Szollosy

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the following by-law be given three readings:

By-law Number 2012-0054 (COU-2)

Being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of meetings.

Carried.....

27. ADJOURNMENT:

Moved by Councillor Smockum

Seconded by Councillor Hackenbrook

That the meeting adjourn at this time (10:30 p.m.)

Carried.....

Danny Wheeler, Deputy Mayor

Lisa Lyons, Deputy Clerk